

# ON SUBSERIES CONVERGENCE IN $F$ -SPACES

BY  
W. J. STILES

## ABSTRACT

In this note, we show that a series,  $\sum x_n$ , in a complete linear metric space with a basis is subseries convergent if and only if it is weakly subseries convergent.

It is well known that a series,  $\sum x_n$ , in a  $B$ -space is subseries convergent if and only if it is weakly subseries convergent. This theorem was proved by Orlicz in [3] for weakly sequentially complete  $B$ -spaces and was proved in the above form by Pettis in [4]. It seems both interesting and useful to know in what class of spaces the above theorem is valid, and more recently Grothendieck [1] and McArthur [2] have shown that the theorem is true in locally convex Hausdorff spaces. Although the theorem clearly cannot be extended to all  $F$ -spaces ( $L_p$ ,  $0 < p < 1$ , for example) we will prove that it can be extended to all  $F$ -spaces with a basis. Our proof will give an alternate method for proving the Orlicz-Pettis theorem in those  $B$ -paces whose separable subspaces contain a basis. We begin by stating a lemma whose proof is given in [5].

LEMMA. *Let  $X$  be an  $F$ -space with a basis,  $\{b_n\}$ , whose topology is given by the norm  $p$ . If for each  $x = \sum \alpha_j b_j$  in  $X$ ,*

$$\|x\| = \sup_{m \leq n} p \left( \sum_{j=m}^n \alpha_j b_j \right),$$

then  $\| \cdot \|$  is a (perhaps nonhomogenous) norm on  $X$  equivalent to  $p$ .

THEOREM. *If  $X$  is an  $F$ -space with a basis, then a series,  $\sum x_j$ , in  $X$  is subseries convergent if and only if it is weakly subseries convergent.*

PROOF. Let  $\{b_n\}$  be a basis for  $X$  and let

$$\|x\| = \sup_{m \leq n} p\left(\sum_{j=m}^n \alpha_j b_j\right)$$

when  $x = \sum \alpha_j b_j$  and  $p$  is any norm giving the topology for  $X$ . Let  $x_n = \sum \alpha_j^n b_j$  and assume that  $\sum x_n$  is weakly subseries convergent. We show first that  $\lim \|x_n\| = 0$ . Suppose that this is not true. Then there exists some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a subsequence  $\{x_{n_j}\}$  such that  $\|x_{n_j}\| > 2\varepsilon$ . We suppose for convenience that  $\|x_n\| > 2\varepsilon$  for all  $n$ . Since  $\sum f(x_n)$  is subseries convergent, we have  $\sum |f(x_n)| < \infty$  for each continuous linear functional  $f$ . In particular, this holds when  $f$  is replaced by a coefficient functional  $f_n$ .

Let  $s_0, n_1 = 1$ , and choose  $s_1$  such that  $\|\sum_{j=s_1}^\infty \alpha_j^{n_1} b_j\| < (\varepsilon/4)$ . Then choose  $r_1 > 0$  such that  $\sup_{1 \leq j \leq s_1} |\alpha_j| < r_1$  implies that  $\|\sum_{j=1}^{s_1} \alpha_j b_j\| < (\varepsilon/2)$ . Having chosen  $n_k, s_k$ , and  $r_k$ , choose  $n_{k+1}, s_{k+1}$ , and  $r_{k+1}$  inductively in the following way Choose  $n_{k+1}$  such that

$$\sup_{s_{n-1} \leq j \leq s_n} |\alpha_j^{n_{k+1}}| < \frac{r_n}{2^{k-n+1}} \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots, k$$

and such that

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{s_k} \alpha_j^{n_{k+1}} b_j \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Now choose  $s_{k+1}$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \left\| \sum_{j=s_{k+1}}^\infty \alpha_j^{n_i} b_j \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k+2}}.$$

Finally, choose  $r_{k+1}$  such that

$$\sup_{s_k \leq j \leq s_{k+1}} |\alpha_j| < r_{k+1}$$

implies

$$\left\| \sum_{j=s_k}^{s_{k+1}} \alpha_j b_j \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Suppose that  $\sum x_{n_i}$  converges weakly to  $x$  and  $x = \sum \alpha_j b_j$ . Since each  $f_j$  is continuous,  $\alpha_j = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \alpha_j^{n_i}$

Furthermore, the conditions imposed above imply that

$$\left\| \sum_{j=s_{k-1}}^{s_k} \alpha_j^{n_k} b_j \right\| \geq \frac{5}{4} \varepsilon,$$

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=s_{k-1}}^{s_k} \alpha_j^{n_i} b_j \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

and

$$\left\| \sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=s_{k-1}}^{s_k} \alpha_j^{n_i} b_j \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

for all integers  $k, k \geq 1$ . Hence, it is easy to see that

$$\left\| \sum_{j=s_k}^{s_{k+1}} \alpha_j b_j \right\| > \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Thus,  $\sum \alpha_j b_j$  is not convergent.

We now note that the set of all finite sums of elements of the set  $\{x_j\}$  is a norm bounded set. Indeed, if this were not true it would be possible to construct a series,  $\sum y_j$ , with

$$y_j = \sum_{k=p_i}^{q_i} x_{n_k}$$

where  $\{p_j\}$  and  $\{q_j\}$  are increasing sequences of positive integers chosen so that  $\|y_j\| > \rho > 0$  for all  $j$  and  $\sum x_{n_k}$  is a subseries of  $\sum x_j$ . Since  $\sum x_j$  is weakly subseries convergent,  $\sum y_j$  is weakly subseries convergent and this implies that  $\lim \|y_j\| = 0$ , a contradiction.

Let

$$M_1 = \sup_{\sigma} \left\| \sum_{i \in \sigma} x_i \right\|$$

where  $\sigma$  is a finite set of positive integers, and let  $\sigma_1$  be any choice of  $\sigma$  for which  $\|\sum_{i \in \sigma_1} x_i\| > M_1 - \frac{1}{2}$ . Having chosen  $M_k$  and  $\sigma_k$ , choose  $M_{k+1}$  such that

$$M_{k+1} = \sup_{\sigma} \left\| \sum_{i \in \sigma} x_i \right\|$$

where  $\sigma$  ranges over all finite sets of positive integers such that  $\sigma \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^k \sigma_i) = \emptyset$ . Now let  $\sigma_{k+1}$  be any admissible  $\sigma$  for which

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in \sigma_{k+1}} x_i \right\| > M_{k+1} - \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}.$$

If  $\lim M_k \neq 0$ , one can construct, as above, a series  $\sum y_j$  which is weakly subseries convergent but  $\lim \|y_n\| \neq 0$ . This is again impossible. Hence  $\lim M_k = 0$ , and this is easily seen to imply that  $\sum x_j$  is unordered Cauchy. Since the space,  $X$ , is complete  $\sum x_j$  converges.

In closing, we note that the referee has pointed out that it is apparently not known whether Orlicz's theorem holds in all  $F$ -spaces whose weak topology separates points.

## REFERENCES

1. A. Grothendieck, *Sur les applications linéaires faiblement compactes d'espaces (CK)*, *Canad. J. Math.* **5** (1953), 129–173.
2. C. W. McArthur, *On a theorem of Orlicz and Pettis*, *Pacific J. Math.* **22** (1967), 297–302.
3. W. Orlicz, *Beitrage zur Theorie der Orthogonalentwicklungen, II*, *Studia Math.* **1** (1929), 241–255.
4. B. J. Pettis, *On integration in vector spaces*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **44** (1938), 277–304.
5. J. H. Shapiro, *Extension of linear functionals on  $F$ -spaces with bases*, *Duke Math. J.* (to appear).

FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY